REVIEW ARTICLES

NON-MALIGNANT RESPIRATORY DISEASES AND OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO WOOD DUST. PART I. FRESH WOOD AND MIXED WOOD INDUSTRY

Gitte Jacobsen^{1, 2}, Inger Schaumburg³, Torben Sigsgaard¹, Vivi Schlünssen¹

¹Department of Environmental and Occupational Medicine, School of Public Health, Aarhus University, Denmark

²Department of Occupational Medicine, Herning Hospital, Denmark

³Neuro Centre, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus Sygehus, Denmark

Jacobsen G, Schaumburg I, Sigsgaard T, Schlünssen V: Non-malignant respiratory diseases and occupational exposure to wood dust. Part I. Fresh wood and mixed wood industry. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2010, **17**, 15–28.

Abstract: This paper reviews associations in literature between exposure to wood dust from fresh wood and non-malignant respiratory diseases. Criteria for inclusion are epidemiological studies in English language journals with an internal or external control group describing relationships between wood dust exposure and respiratory diseases or symptoms. The papers took into account smoking, and when dealing with lung function took age into consideration. A total of 25 papers concerning exposure to fresh wood and mixed wood formed the basis of this review. The results support an association between fresh wood dust exposure and ratio actual asthma symptoms, coughing, bronchitis, and actuate and chronic impairment of lung function. In addition, an association between fresh wood dust exposure and rhino-conjunctivitis was seen across studies. Apart from plicatic acid in western red cedar wood, no causal agent was consistently disclosed. Type 1 allergy is not suspected of being a major cause of wood dust induced asthma. Concurrent exposure to microorganisms and terpenes probably add to the inherent risk of wood dust exposure in the fresh wood industry.

Address for correspondence: Vivi Schlünssen, Department of Environmental and Occupational Medicine, School of Public Health, Aarhus University, Bartholins allé 2, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark. E-mail: vs@mil.au.dk

Key words: wood dust, wood industry, epidemiology, lung function, occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, COPD, occupational rhinitis, respiratory symptoms, review, Denmark.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 3.6 million workers in the European Union are exposed to wood dust [45].

Wood is processed in many industries including sawmills with processing of fresh wood, plywood mills producing plywood from fresh wood, other types of mills producing wood composites, and furniture factories or smaller workshops using dry wood only. Studies from recent years indicate different exposure response relationships for dry wood compared to fresh wood [19, 25, 51].

Wood dust is a known inducer of cancer in the nasal cavity and recent reviews have focused on this [14, 40]. Wood dust has also been associated with a variety of respiratory toms and eye symptoms, as well as chronic impairment in lung function. Although the occurrence of non-malignant respiratory diseases related to wood dust has been reviewed earlier [18, 29, 65], a number of studies have also been performed in recent years. The earlier reviews, however, did not specifically consider the difference between dry and wet wood. Hence, updated reviews concerning nonmalignant respiratory diseases divided into dry wood and wet wood is warranted. This review focuses on fresh wood and mixed wood exposure to wood dust. A second review focuses on dry wood exposure [41].

diseases including asthma, chronic bronchitis, nasal symp-

In the reviews, we have not included papers concerning occupational exposure to wood dust and cryptogen fibrosing

Received:14 January 2010Accepted:5 May 2010

alveolitis, as only a few case control studies have been performed concerning this rare disease and its association to wood dust [7, 30, 39, 54, 58].

Allergic and toxic alveolitis is seen among fresh wood dust exposed workers, especially among sawmill workers, where up to 20% had experienced symptoms consistent with toxic alveolitis [8, 55]. Allergic alveolitis is rare, also among sawmill workers [55], but cases has been reported [32, 60]. Microorganisms are suspected to be by far the most important agent, especially Rhizopus microspores [24]; therefore, all papers on these two diseases are not systematically included in this review, but the importance of allergic and toxic alveolitis with respect to respiratory impairment among fresh wood dust exposed workers are discussed.

METHODS

The literature search for the reviews covered Medline for papers published in English for the period 1969 to June 2009, with the following search conditions: "Wood" [MeSH Terms] AND "Occupational Diseases" [MeSH Terms] NOT "Case Reports" [Publication Type]. This revealed 422 publications. The search was accompanied by a scan of list of references in the identified studies and supplemented with updates until August 2009. Criteria for inclusion were epidemiological studies describing associations between upper or lower respiratory diseases, or symptoms and exposure to fresh or mixed wood dust. Studies not having an internal control group (high or low exposure) or an external control group were excluded. Papers which did not take smoking into consideration, or which did not adjust for age when dealing with lung function were discounted.

In total, 25 original papers were included. To allow for comparison between papers, odds ratios (OR) for symptoms from data provided in the papers, whenever OR's were not stated, were calculated with Chi square test using exact confidence intervals.

Chronic bronchitis was defined as daily coughing and phlegm for at least 3 month during at least 2 consecutive years [13].

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the main results from the reviewed papers. This review focuses on: asthma, asthma symptoms, coughing, chronic bronchitis, rhino-conjunctivitis, and impairment in lung function.

Asthma and Asthma symptom. Seventeen papers including one register-based follow-up study and 15 crosssectional studies (where one study population was reinvestigated after 2 years) have reported on asthma, asthma symptoms or bronchial hyper responsiveness (BHR).

In a register-based population study, Heikkilä *et al.* [33] determined incidence rates of clinically verified asthma

for different industries handling both fresh and dry wood. Relative Risk (95% Confidence interval) RR (95% CI) for asthma for all wood exposed males and females compared to administrative control workers were 1.5 (1.2–1.8) and 1.5 (1.2–1.7), respectively. For workers handling primarily fresh wood RR varied between 1.5 (1.2–18) (males forestry and logging) and 1.9 (1.5–2.5) (males sawmilling).

Four studies reported prevalence's between 5–14% for **physician diagnosed asthma** [16], **ever asthma** [35], **current asthma** [36] or asthma [34], with OR's comparing exposed with unexposed workers ranging from 2.5–5.5, being statistically significant in two studies [34, 35]. In addition, 3 studies [16, 19, 25] defined asthma from a combination of symptoms and reported prevalence's between 10–73%, with OR 1.5–2.7, significant in 2 studies [16, 19].

Six studies reported significantly increased prevalence's of **wheezing** (15–42%) **chest tightness** (36–43%), **shortness of breath** (**SOB**) **with wheezing** (15%), and **chest tightness with wheezing** (20%) with OR's ranging from 1.1–2.7 when comparing exposed to non-exposed [15, 19, 25, 34, 36] or groups with different exposures [15, 20]. In contrast, 2 studies from Thailand and Indonesia did not find any relation between wet wood exposure and asthma symptoms [11, 46].

Prevalence's of **work-related asthma (WRA) symp-toms** (wheezing, SOB with wheezing) (6–20%) were reported in 4 papers with OR's ranging from 0.7–7.0 when comparing exposed to non-exposed [4, 19, 52] or to groups with lower exposure [31], with significantly increased OR's in [19, 31].

In 5 studies prevalence's of **WRA** ranged from 1.1– 8.3% with OR's from 1.5–2.7 when comparing exposed to non-exposed [4, 15, 16, 52], or years of exposure [63], although only one study found significant differences [63]. Another study reported a 1.1% prevalence of red cedar asthma (RCA) based on "a typical history of RCA" in the exposed group, but no information on the control group was available [15]. A 1 year incidence of RCA of 4–5% was estimated based on information on workers having left the work place.

The effect of red cedar (RC) exposure on BHR was explored in 2 studies. In [16] an increased prevalence of BHR among non-atopic RC workers (76%) *vs* non-atopic controls (4%) and an association between BHR and duration of employment was revealed. In a later follow up [64], persistent BHR was found to be related to exposure levels above 1 mg/m³. Furthermore, BHR was associated to specific IgE for plicatic acid.

Chronic bronchitis and cough. Seven cross-sectional papers reported chronic bronchitis with prevalence's ranging from 10–69% and OR's ranging from 1.0–9.6 when woodworkers were compared with controls [4, 31, 34, 36, 46, 52], lower exposure level [46] or lower seniority [57]. Findings were significant in 4 papers [4, 31, 46, 52]. In one study, chronic bronchitis was associated with duration of employment [36].

Coughing was reported in 9 cross-sectional studies with prevalence ranging from 11–46% and OR's 0.9–26, 4 with significant results [16, 19, 34, 62], in studies comparing exposed to non-exposed [15, 16, 19, 34, 35, 36, 46, 62] or lower exposed groups [11, 46, 63]. **Work related coughing** was reported in 4 papers with prevalence's between 14–59% comparing exposed to non-exposed controls [4, 19, 52], or lower exposed [31]. OR's were ranging from 0.8–18.7, two with significant results [19, 52].

Post-shift decline in lung function. A total of 6 crosssectional studies have investigated acute changes in lung function among workers exposed to fresh wood. Gandevia *et al.* reported a day to day reversible post-shift decline in FEV₁ among a group of RC workers [27], while Herbert *et al.* in two studies at oriented strandboard mills showed a significant post-shift decline in FEV₁ and FVC among wood workers [34, 35]. Likewise, Mandryk *et al.* reported a post-shift decline in FEV₁, FVC, FEV₁/FVC among sawmill workers for both green mill workers and dry mill workers, but they could not confirm a DRR to wood dust exposure [51, 52]. Only one study, Ashley *et al.*, reported no changes in lung function during a work week among woodworkers compared to non-exposed controls [5].

COPD. Seventeen studies including 2 industry-based follow-up studies, one register based follow-up study and 14 cross-sectional studies have reported on lung function parameters and exposure to fresh or mixed wood dust.

In an 11 year follow up study, Noertjojo *et al.* [53] reported a greater decline in FEV_1 and FVC among RC sawmill workers compared to controls, and reported a DRR between mean average exposure during follow up and annual decline in lung function. Friesen *et al.* in a register-based follow-up study reported a DRR between cumulative wood dust exposure and COPD hospitalisation rate. In contrast, Glindmeyer *et al.* in a 5-year follow-up study found no association between wood dust of any size fraction and lung function indices.

Twelve cross-sectional studies found associations between baseline lung function parameters and exposure to wood dust. Douwes et al. [20] found high current exposure to wood dust to be associated to reduction in FEV, PEF and FVC for green mill and dry mill workers, the latter only significant for workers in green mills. Mandryk et al. revealed a DRR between decreased FEV, and current inhalable dust concentration and for green mill workers a DRR between respirable dust and decrease in FVC, but also a positive correlation between baseline lung function indices and years of exposure to wood dust [51, 52]. Likewise, Teschke et al., using different exposure models, reported a DRR between inhalable dust and decreased FEV₁ [61]. Borm et al. [11] found no association between cumulative exposure and lung function indices. They found, however, an association between years of employment and lung function indices for male workers.

Ashley *et al.* [5] found a borderline significant association between duration of exposure and reduced FEV₁ and FVC among RC workers, while Vedal *et al.* [63] found a DRR between current wood dust exposure and FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC, but no association with years of employment.

Liou *et al.* [46] found a DRR between current exposure level and PEF, mean FEV₁, and mean FVC.

A number of studies found decreased PEF, FEV_1 or FEV_1/FVC among exposed workers compared to non-exposed controls [16, 34, 35, 36, 37, 46, 51, 52, 62, 63].

Only two cross-sectional studies found no association between wood dust exposure and baseline FVC or FEV_1 [31, 57].

Rhino-conjunctivitis. Two studies reported significantly increased prevalence's of rhinitis (17-31%) with OR's 1.6-2.6, when comparing woodworkers to non-exposed controls [15, 19] or groups with lower exposure [19]. One study did not find exposure to wood dust associated with rhinitis [25]. Three papers reported WR nasal symptoms (9-49%) [4, 31, 52], and 2 [4, 52] found significantly increased prevalence's of runny nose and sneezing with OR between 3.5-7.0 in green and dry mill workers compared to controls. Significantly increased occurrence of conjunctivitis was reported in one study with OR 9.9 (1.7-400) between exposed and non-exposed workers [19]. One study did not find current inhalable wood dust exposure associated to conjunctivitis [25]. Three papers comparing woodworkers and controls reported increased prevalence of WR conjunctivitis [4, 19, 52], significant in [19], while the others reported significantly increased prevalence of WR eye irritation, especially among green mill workers [4, 52]. In addition, one study reported a non-significant increase in WR eye irritation among sawmills workers [31].

One paper reported a significant negative association between exposure duration and an irritation syndrome including nasal or conjunctival irritation [57].

NAL (nasal lavage) performed in one study revealed a higher cell count among females in the highest exposure category (>5 mg/m³) [11].

DISCUSSION

When estimating respiratory health effects of occupational exposure to wood dust it is crucial to have valid exposure estimates. In the presented papers, wood dust exposure was assessed in different ways. Some studies estimated exposure solely on employment status [16, 19, 62], but most studies included dust measurements at least on a limited number of workers. Group exposure estimates were based on additional information about work area, job title, etc. Some studies based exposure assessment on a substantial amount of measurements [11, 20, 26, 28, 52, 53, 61].

Exposure misclassification in many of the studies is likely. When comparisons are made between groups of more Table 1. Characteristics of studies included. Unless otherwise stated, symptom risk is given as OR.

Author, country, year	Type of study/ Number	Industry; wood species	Exposure measure mg/m ³ ; unless otherwise stated personal dust in GM (GSD)
Glindmeyer, US, 2008 [28]	FU 5 yr. E: 385	Sawmill, planning, plywood, milling Various wood types	Dust N=647 3 size fractions (<4<10<100) μm
			150 analysed for % WS and % RPM
			GM resp: 0.10–0.19 %WS mean: 2–28
			GM inhal: 0.77–1.07 %WS mean: 8–39
			JEM: Mean individual exp. during FU, mg/m ³ , for 3 size fractions, WS, RPM
Heikkilå, FI, 2008 [33]	R-FU	Wood processing industries various, 10 industries wet and	JEM 5 exp levels based on industrial meas. total dust
	Registers: Wood processing industries. Incident AS reim- bursement register E: 56,721 Other blue-collar	dry pine, spruce, birch	Woodworkers (E_w): E_{low} : 0.02–<0.05 E_{med} : 0.5–<1.5 E_{high} : \geq 1.5
	W: 101,413 C: 12,839		E _b : Other bluecollar workers, wood exp. unknown C (administrative)
			Also divided into types of work
Rusca, SW, 2008 [57]	CS E: 111	Sawmills; spruce, fir	Area inhal. dust N=? AM: 1.7 (range 0.2–8.5) Also bacteria, fungi
Friesen, CA, 2007 [26]	R-FU E: 11,273	Sawmills; softwood	Inhal. dust N=1399; JEM non-spec. particulate and wood dust Cum. exp. particulate: mean (max): 9.8 (220) mg yr/m ³
			Cum. wood dust: mean (max.): 6.8 (89) mg yr/m ³
Douwes, NZ, 2006 [20]	CS E: 167	Sawmills; pine	Inhal. dust N=183 GM: 0.5 (2.7) JEM: 3 exp. catagories
	3 exp. levels		$\begin{array}{l} E_{\text{low}}: \ 0.4 \ (2.8) \\ E_{\text{high-dry}}: \ 0.6 \ (2.2) \\ E_{\text{high-green}}: \ 0.8 \ (2.3) \end{array}$
Ugheoke, NI, 2006 [62]	CS E: 150 C: 150	Sawmills; mansonia, iroko, walnut	E vs C
Teschke, CA, 2004 [61]	CS E: 105 C: 483	Sawmills; pine, spruce	Inhal. dust N=103 GM: 0.54 (2.9)

1	9

Lower airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Upper airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Objective measurements	Confounders included
		No ass. between WS and lung function indices for any size fraction	Age, sex, height, weight change, ethnicity, smoking, baseline lung function
		Neg. ass. between resp. RPM and annual change in FEV_1 , $FEV_1/$ FVC, or FEF_{25-75} in milling,	
		Neg. ass. between resp. RPM and annual change in FEV ₁ and FVC in sawmill-planning-plywood	
RR: AS men E vs C:			Sex, age
$\begin{array}{l} E_{w}: 1.5 \ (1.2 - 1.8) \\ E_{low}: 1.4 \ (1.1 - 1.7) \\ E_{med}: 1.7 \ (1.4 - 2.2) \\ E_{high}: 1.2 \ (0.9 - 1.6) \\ E_{b}: 1.4 \ (1.1 - 1.8) \\ E_{sawmill}: 1.9 \ (1.5 - 2.5) \end{array}$			No adj. for smoking, but has been considered
RR: AS women E vs C: E_w : 1.5 (1.2–1.7) E_{low} : 1.4 (1.2–1.8) E_{med} : 1.6 (1.3–2.0) E_{high} : 1.2 (0.8–1.6) E_b : 1.4 (1.1–1.6)			
No ass. dust or yr. exp. CB, AS.	S neg. ass. yr. exp. and nasal/eye irritation No ass. to dust	No ass. between current dust level or yr. of exp. and $\text{FEV}_1\%$ pred.	Sex (all males), smoking, atopy, bacteria, fungi
COPD hospitalisation rate:			Sex (all males) age, ethnicity
No ass. cum. non-spec. dust DRR cum. wood dust RR 1.93 E _{cum-high} vs E _{cum-low}			No adj. for smoking, but has been considered
$E_{high-dry} \nu s E_{tow}:$ AS: 2.1 (1.0-4.4) $E_{tot} = E_{tot} \cdot E_{tot}$		$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{E}_{\text{high-dry}} \nu s \mathbf{E}_{\text{low}}:\\ \downarrow \text{FEV}_1, \downarrow \text{PEF},\\ \text{Borderline} \downarrow \text{FVC} \end{array}$	Sex, age, ethnicity, smoking, height, symptom status
E _{high-green} vs E _{low} : AS: 1.4 (0.6–3–3)			
		No ass between exp. and FEV ₁ / FVC or exp. FEV ₁ /FVC<70%	
Non-Smokers E vs C: WH: 5 vs 0%, p=0.01 SOB+WH: 1 vs 0%, NS CO*: 25.5 (9.7–77)		E <i>νs</i> C: lover PEF for both smokers and non-smokers	Sex (all males), smoking, age, height
Smokers E vs C: WH: 4 vs 0%, NS SOB+WH: 2 vs 0%, NS CO*: 6.4 (0.8–289)			

Author, country, year	Type of study/ Number	Industry; wood species	Exposure measure mg/m ³ ; unless otherwise stated personal dust in GM (GSD)
ransman, NZ, 2003 [25]	CS E: 112 C: 415	Plywood mill; pine	Inhal. dust N=57 GM 0.7 (1.9) Job titles: low/high Yr. of exp. Also endotoxins, abietic acid, terpenes formaldehyde
Borm, IN, 2002 [11]	CS E _{Low} : 572 E _{Med} : 271 E _{High} : 87	Plywood plant; meranti	Dust meas. N=243 JEM: $E_{L_{ow}}$: <2 E_{Med} : 2–5 E_{High} : >5 Yr. of exposure, cum. exp.
Douwes, NZ, 2001 [19]	CS E: 704 3 exp levels C _w : 65 C _p : 592	Sawmills; pine	JEM (work area, job title) 4 exp. categories: C _w (non-exp.) E _{low} (non/low) E _{high-gren} E _{high-dry}
Mandryk, AU, 2000 [52] Part of study [51]	CS E _{dy} : 34 E _{gren} : 53 C: 34	Sawmills (green mills, dry mills); eucalypt	Inhal. dust N=93 GM _{green} : 1.5 (3.7) GM _{dry} : 1.7 (2.5) Also resp. dust, endotoxins, glucans, bacteria

Mandryk, AU, 1999 [51]

As [4]

Lower airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Upper airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Objective measurements	Confounders included
Yr. exp. vs C: <2yr.; 2–6.5 yr.; > 6.5 yr.	RH: 35.7% E CJ: 25% E		Sex, age, ethnicity No smoking information for C
AS: 0.5 (0.2–1.7); 1.0 (0.3–2.7); 3.1 (1.3–7.2)	NS increase of nasal and eye symp. in rel. to exp. level		No shoking information for C
WH: 0.4 (0.1–1.6); 1.4 (0.6–3.6); 1.8 (0.7–4.3)			
SOB+WH: 1.1 (0.4–3.0) 1.0 (0.4–2.7); 2.6 (1.1–5.8)			
Males: WH 1.6%; CO: 23.5% Females: WH: 1.8%, CO: 22.3%, No ass. exposure level, cum. exp. or yr. exp.		Neg. ass. between year of employ- ment & FEV ₁ , FVC, FEV ₁ /FVC for men. No ass. between exp. & lung function indices; NAL: tendency to lower cell counts among the highest exp. women.	Smoking, sex, age
$E_{all} vs C_{p};$ AS: 1.6 (1.1–2.3) WH: 1.4 (1.1–1.9) $E_{tow} vs C_{w};$ AS 1.9 (0.7–4.9) CO: 2.7 (1.2–6.5) WR-WH/SOB/CT: 4.7 (1.3–16) $E_{high-green} vs C_{w};$ AS 2.7 (0.9–7.6) CO: 5.2 (2.1–13) WR-WH/SOB/CT: 4.0 (1.1–15) $E_{high-dry} vs Cw;$ AS 2.1 (0.8–5.7) CO 3.3 (1.4–7.9) WR-WH/SOB/CT: 7.0 (2.0–25)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{E}_{all} vs \ \mathbf{C}_{:} \\ RH: 2.1^{*} (1.0-4.9) \\ CJ: 9.9^{*} (1.7-400) \\ WR-RH: 5.3 (1.4-45) \\ WR-CJ: 47 vs 0\% \\ (p<0.05) \\ \mathbf{E}_{low} vs \ \mathbf{C}_{w} \\ RH: 1.7 (0.7-4.0) \\ CJ: 8.0 (1.0-63) \\ WR-RH: 2.6 (NS) \\ WR-CJ: 4.8 vs 0\% (NS) \\ \mathbf{E}_{high-green} vs \ \mathbf{C}_{w} \\ RH: 2.6 (1.0-6.5) \\ CJ: 15 (1.8-118) \\ WR-RH: 3.9 (0.8-18) \\ WR-CJ: 9 vs 0\% (p<0.01) \\ \mathbf{E}_{high-drv} vs \ \mathbf{C}_{w} \\ RH: 2.5 (1.0-6.0) \\ CJ: 11 (1.4-85) \\ WR-RH: 6.0 (1.3-27) \\ WR-CJ: 7 vs 0\% (p<0.05) \end{array}$		Smoking, sex, age, ethnicity, mill No smoking information for C _p :
WR Green mills vs C AS: 1.3* (0.2–15) WH: 2.4* (0.7–11) CO: 3.4* (1.2–10) CB: 4.5* (1.3–20) Dry mills vs C: AS: 2.1 (0.3–24.9) WH: 0.7* (0.1–4.7) CO: 0.8* (0.3–3.1) CB: 1.0* (0.1–5.9)	WR Green mills vs C: BN: 2.3* (0.9–6.5) RN: 3.6* (1.2–12) IN: 2.7* (0.8–11) SN: 7.0* (2.2–26) CJ: 1.3* (0.1–79) EYD: 4.5* (1.5–15) Dry mills vs C: BN: 1.7* (0.6–4.6) RN: 3.7* (1.2–11.2) IN: 1.5* (0.4–6.7) SN: 5.2* (1.4–21) CJ: 1.0* (0.0–81) EYD: 1.9* (0.5–7.5)	FEV ₁ , FVC decreased in both green and dry mill FEV ₁ /FVC decreased in dry mill E _{green} : neg. corr between resp. dust and FVC% predicted, and between inhal. dust and VC% predicted Post shift decline FEV ₁ , FVC, FEV ₁ /FVC; Pos. corr. between inhal. dust and post shift decline in VC, FEV _{25-75%}	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height
		E vs C: FVC↓, FEV,↓	

FVC \downarrow , FEV $_1\downarrow$ Post shift decline FEV $_1$, FVC, FEV $_1$ /FVC, PEF No DRR

Author, country, year	Type of study/ Number	Industry; wood species	Exposure measure mg/m ³ ; unless otherwise stated personal dust in GM (GSD)
Alwis, AU, 1999 [4]	CS E: 108 C: 34	Sawmills, chip mill; eucalypt	Inhal. dust N=93 GM sawmill: 1.6 (3.2) GM chip mill: 2.9 (1.7) Yr. of exp, resp. dust, endotoxins, glucans, bacteria
Liou, TA, 1996 [46]	CS E _{High} : 34 E _{Low} : 38 C: 262	Wood mill; powder	Total dust E _{High} AM (N=6): 12.0 E _{Low} (N=1): 2.9
Noertijojo, CA, 1996 [53]	FU 11 yr. E: 243 C: 140	Sawmill; red cedar	Dust meas. N=1,132 (during 12 years) JEM, cum. exp. Mean daily: <0.2, 0.2 to 0.4, >0.4
Hessel, CA, 1995 [36]	CS E: 94 C: 165	Sawmill; pine, spruce	Area resp. N=5 AM (range): 1.35 (0.1–2.2)
Herbert, CA, 1995 [35]	CS E: 127 C: 165	OSB-production; aspen, balsam	Area dust resp. N = 4 AM 0.05–0.5 Also formaldehyde, MDI
Herbert, CA, 1994 [34]	CS E: 99 C: 165	OSB-production; aspen	Area dust total sawline N=1 (0.27) Also formaldehyde
Halpin, UK, 1994 [31]	CS E: 103 2 exp. levels C: 52 (incl. paint sprayer & welders)	Sawmill; spruce, pine	Total dust N=62 GM low range: 0.2–1.1 GM high range: 1.3–6.3 GM control: 2.3 Fungal spores
Vedal, CA, 1988 [64] FU of [16]	FU E: 227 2 exp. levels	Sawmill; red cedar	Dust meas. N=? (personal, area) job, location
Vedal, CA, 1986 [63], Same study as [16]	CS E: 652 2 exp. levels	Sawmill; red cedar	Dust meas. N=78 (personal, area) JEM, 334 assign. AM: 0.46 (range 0–6) Yr. of exp.

Lower airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Upper airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Objective measurements	Confounders included
WR E vs C: AS: 1.5* (0.3–14.5) WH: 1.4* (0.4–6.2) CT: 1.3* (0.4–4.2) CO: 4.6* (1.8–13) CB: 3.2* (1.0–13)	WR: BN: 2.1* (0.8–5.3) RN: 3.5* (1.3–11) IN: 2.0* (0.7–7.3) SN: 4.6* (1.6–16) CJ: 1.3* (0.1–64) EYD: 5.4* (2.0–17)		Smoking, sex (all males), age, height
$E_{\text{High}} \nu s E_{\text{Low}}$: CO: 3.9* (0.6–41)		E vs C: Decreased FEV ₁ , PEF.	Smoking, sex, age, height
CB: 9.6* (1.1–443)		For smokers: ↓FEV ₁ /FVC	
non-smoking: E _{High} vs E _{Low} : CO: 23 vs 0%, p<0.05 CB: 23 vs 0%, p<0.05		E: DRR exp. decrease in, FEV ₁ , FVC, PEF	
		FEV ₁ , FVC: Larger decline in E. DRR between exp. and annual decline in FVC	Smoking, sex (all males), height, ethnicity, atopy
AS: 2.5 (0.8–8.3) WH+CT: 2.6 (1.2–5.6) CO: 1.5 (0.7–3.2) CB: 1.5 (0.8–2.9)		FEV ₁ , FEV ₁ /FVC reduced in E	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, atopy
> 3 yr employment <i>vs</i> C AS: 3.7 (1.0–14) CB: 2.2 (1.0–4.5)			
AS: 2.9 (1.0–8.0) WH+ CT: 3.4 (1.7–6.8) CO: 1.2 (0.6–2.5)		FEV_1/FVC decreased in E. Post shift decline in FVC, FEV_1 in E.	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, atopy
AS: 5.5 (1.9–16.2) WH+CT: 5.7 (2.8–11.8) CO: 2.4 (1.2–4.7) CB: 1.4 (0.7–2.6)		E <i>vs</i> C: \downarrow FEV ₁ /FVC; FEV ₁ /FVC<75%: smokers: 3.0 (1,1–8,1) non-smokers: 1.4 (0.7–2.6) E: Post shift decline in FVC, FEV ₁	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, atopy
WR: E _{all} <i>vs</i> C: WH: 0.5* (0.2–1.4) CO: 1.0* (0.3–3.5) CB: 3.5* (1.1–14)	WR: E _{al} vs C: NAD: 1.9* (0.5–8.3) EYD: 1.9* (0.6–6.8)	No differences in FEV_1 and FVC between E and C	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, atopy
E _{high} vs E _{low} : WH: 7.0* (1.3–69) CO: 2.0* (0.5–8.4) CB: 1.2* (0.4–3.5)	E _{high} vs E _{low} : NAD: 2.7* (0.7–11) EYD: 2.8* (0.8–10)		
Tendency more resp. symp. when persistent BHR		Pos. corr. between exp. and BHR Pos. corr. between BHR and pli- catic acid IgE	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, ethnicity
WR Asthma ass. to exp. >10 yr:	WR NAD: not related to exp	Corr. between FEV ₁ , FVC and	Smoking, sex (all males), age,
OR: 2.1 (1.2–3.9)	Exp >2 mg/m ³	exposure	height, ethnicity 2 exp. levels

Author, country, year	Type of study/ Number	Industry; wood species	Exposure measure mg/m ³ ; unless otherwise stated personal dust in GM (GSD)
Chan-Yeung, CA, 1984 [16]	CS E: 652 C: 440	Sawmill; red cedar	
Ashley, CA, 1978 [5] Same study as [15]	CS E: 405 C: 187	Sawmill, shingle mill; E: red cedar C: spruce, fir, hemloch	Area dust meas. N=92. AM E: 2.6 AM C: 1.7 Year of exposure
Chan-Yeung, CA, 1978 [15]	CS E: 405 C_1 : 187 C_2 : 65 (C_2 earlier work with Red Cedar)	As. [5]	
Gandevia, AU, 1970 [27]	CS E _{high} : 30 E _{low} : 17	Saw Mill; red cedar	Area dust meas. N=? 250–270 particles/m ³

Countries: AU: Australia. CA: Canada. FI: Finland; NZ: New Zealand. SW: Sweden; TA: Taiwan; UK: United Kingdom; US: United States of America

Type of study/Number: C: controls; CS: Cross sectional study; E: exposed; FU: follow-up study; R-FU: Register follow-up study

Exposure measure and statistics: AM: arimetric mean; Ass: associated; CI: confidence interval; Conc: concentration; Corr: correlation; Cum: cumulative; Diff: difference: DRR: dose response relationship; Exp: exposure; GM: geometric mean; GSD: geometric standard deviation; JEM: job exposure matrix; Inhal: inhalable; MDI: methylene diisocyanate; NS: non-significant; OR: odds ratio; OSB: oriented strand board; P: population; Pred: predicted; RR: relative risk; Resp: respirable; RPM: residual particulate matter; SD: standard deviation; S: significant; W: worker; WS: wood solids

Symbols symptoms and objective measurements: AS: asthma, BN: blocked Nose; CB: chronic bronchitis; CJ: conjunctivitis; CO: cough; CT: chest tightness; EYD: eye irritation; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC: forced vital capacity; IN: itchy nose; NAD: nasal discomfort; NAL: nasal lavage; RH: rhinitis; RN: runny nose; SOB: shortness breath; SN: sneezing; WR: work related; WH: wheeze.

or less exposed wood workers this misclassification might attenuate the dose-response relation. For example, Douwes *et al.* [19] ascribed misclassification of exposure as the reason for finding associations between exposed and nonexposed workers for WR asthma symptoms, without being able to show any association to exposure level.

There are large differences in exposure level in the papers reviewed. When dust measurements were performed, low exposure levels of total or inhalable dust ranged from < 0.05-2.9 mg/m³ (AM or GM) and high exposure levels from 0.6–12 mg/m³. Compared to the dry wood industry reviewed in [41], there is a tendency towards lower exposure levels in the fresh wood industry, which is also clear from the European wood dust exposure survey from 2006 [45].

All but 5 follow-up studies were cross-sectional studies. A cross-sectional design hampers the possibilities to study associations between exposure and chronic diseases with latency time, for example asthma, chronic bronchitis and chronic impairment of lung function. In addition, a "healthy worker effect", i.e. a tendency of workers experiencing respiratory complaints to leave a dusty job or to transfer to less dusty jobs, can cause skewing of risk estimates due to selection bias.

Ideally, cases and controls should be identical, apart from contrasts in exposure, and in most studies other industrial workers were selected as controls, while in some studies groups that probably differed markedly from the workers in the wood industry had been chosen (including office workers, general population) making interpretation difficult [16, 19, 25, 31, 46, 53].

Smoking is strongly causally related to the development of respiratory symptoms and decline in lung function, including COPD and chronic bronchitis, and therefore we have excluded studies without information on smoking. The

Lower airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Upper airway symptoms OR (95% CI) * OR calculated	Objective measurements	Confounders included
AS: 2.7 (S) CO: 2.2 (S) WR-AS: 2.7 (S)		E vs C: FEV ₁ , FVC, FEV ₁ /FVC reduced in E.	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, ethnicity
		E: non-atopic more BHR com- pared to atopics.	
		BHR pos. corr. to exp. time	
E vs C: exp. duration related to prevalence of PH, WH & SOB		E vs C: \uparrow duration exp. related \downarrow FEV ₁ , \downarrow FVC No \downarrow lung function during week E&C: no relation atopy & lung function	Smoking, sex (all males), age, height, atopy
E vs C₁: WH: 1.8 (p=0.07) CO: 2.0 (p<0.01) SOB: 2.3 (p<0.01)	E <i>vs</i> C ₁ (RR): RH: 1.6 (S)	E vs C No diff. in lung function	Smoking, sex (all males), age,
C ₂ vs C ₁ : (SOB: 2.3 (p=0.02)			
E: WR asthma. 1.1%. Incidens 4–5%. C_2 more resp. symp. compared to C_1			
3 cases of clinical WR AS	4 cases WR rhinitis	E_{high} post shift decline in FEV ₁ E_{low} NS post shift decline No diff. during work week	Smoking

expected lung function depends on age, sex and height, and these factors have generally been included in the studies. Atopy is a known risk factor for asthma and rhinoconjunctivitis, but only some studies have taken atopic status into consideration [5, 31, 34, 35, 57, 64].

Although only a few studies revealed significant associations between wood dust exposure and occurrence of asthma and WRA, it is evident when looking across studies, that a consistent pattern of elevated prevalence's and OR's of asthma and asthmatic symptoms is revealed. The positive findings were confirmed in the only follow-up study [33]. No clear pattern between exposure level or duration and prevalence of asthma is seen across studies, i.e. very heterogeneous methodologies across a wide range of countries make it difficult directly to compare the different studies.

From the studies reported in this review it seems evident that exposure to fresh wood dust may cause CB. All but one study reported OR's above 1.0 and several studies reported significant OR's above 2.0 when comparing woodworkers to controls. CB could be related to exposures mostly or only present when handling wet wood, for example, moulds and endotoxin, and therefore one might argue it is not an effect of wood dust exposure per se. On the other hand, studies in the dry wood industry with little or no exposure to these have reported high OR's [41].

Coughing is an unspecific symptom, which may reflect acute irritation of the airways and toxic alveolitis, as well as diseases like asthma, bronchitis, COPD or allergic alveolitis. Coughing and work-related coughing in relation to wood dust exposure seems to be a consistent finding across studies. Eduard *et al.* found DRR between prevalence of coughing and the exposure level of mould spores among wood trimmers [24], which suggests the microbial exposure to be of importance. However, increased prevalence of coughing has also been found in the dry wood industry, where studies revealing DRR between wood dust exposure per se and coughing support an inherent wood dust effect [41].

An acute obstructive effect of fresh wood dust exposure during workdays or during work weeks seems likely, as most studies measuring lung function showed a post-shift decline in lung function, although DRR did not support the finding for fresh wood, as opposed to a number of studies in the dry wood industry [41].

When studying lung function, a cross-sectional design as used in most of the reviewed papers is at best suboptimal. Even so, a number of studies revealed reduced baseline lung function (FEV₁, FVC, or FEV₁/FVC) among wood workers, and some studies revealed an association to current exposure or to years of exposure. The two followup studies [28, 53] investigating trends in lung function showed conflicting results. Both studies were performed among low exposed workers, but wood types differed as Noertjojo *et al.* studied a cohort exposed to RC, a known

asthmagen while RC exposed workers were excluded by Glindmeyer et al. Individual exposure assignments in both studies were based on JEMs and exposure duration. Noertjojo et al. based JEM on measurements of total dust, whereas Glindmeyer et al. divided measurements into wood solids (WS), residual particulate matter (RPM) and 3 size fractions. While Glindmeyer et al. found no association between any size fraction of WS and change in lung function, they reported significant effects of inhalable and thoracic dust on excess annual decline in lung function in the pooled population, including workers exposed to dry wood, but ascribed the findings to the RPM component of the respirable fraction. In the dry wood industry, one follow-up study of equally low exposed workers showed a DRR between wood dust exposure (baseline and cumulative) and decline in FEV, and FVC among female workers, supporting a chronic effect of wood dust, suggested as being caused by a greater susceptibility for females [41, 42].

There seem to be a consistent trend across studies on rhinitis, nasal symptoms, conjunctivitis, and eye irritation supporting an effect on exposure to fresh wood dust on nasal mucosa and conjunctiva. This is in accordance with finding from studies of exposure to dry wood [41].

The mechanisms for wood dust inducing respiratory impairment are far from being fully understood. For RC, a low molecular compound, plicatic acid has been revealed to be a causal factor, and both immunological and non-immunological mechanisms are involved [10]. Apart from RC no causal agent has consistently been disclosed. Specific sensitization has been reported, but type 1 allergy is not suspected to be a major cause of wood dust induced asthma [1, 17, 59, 66].

Apart from IgE mediated sensitization several other mechanisms are possible. Animal studies have shown that wood components, for example the major constituent in pine resin abietic acid, causes direct toxicity via lytic damage to alveolar, tracheal and bronchial epithelial cells [6]. Wood dust extracts from both hard and soft wood are able to induce the release of pro-inflammatory mediators from macrophages [47, 49], express and induce the release of inflammatory mediators in human epithelial cell line [12], and modulate the expression of cytokines and chemokines [48].

Workers handling fresh wood are concurrently exposed to inflammatory components like moulds, bacteria and natural volatile components of fresh wood. Mould exposure may, apart from asthma, lead to allergic or toxic alveolitis, which has been described in sawmill workers and wood trimmers [9, 23, 32, 55]. Symptoms consistent with alveolitis, for example, coughing, wheezing, dyspnoea, are also associated to asthma and bronchitis. Hence, the different diseases, as well as the exposure of relevance (wood dust, microorganisms), can hardly be disentangled in an epidemiological setting. Biohazards, mostly endotoxins and mould exposure, have mainly been studied at sawmills processing fresh wood [22, 51], but have also been found at lower concentrations in the dry wood industry associated to chronic bronchitis [4], and cross-shift decrease in lung function [51]. Thus, respiratory effects caused by work in the fresh wood industry is probably a combination of exposure to wood dust *per se* and other exposures, such as endotoxins, glucans and mould spores.

Monoterpenes are volatile substances naturally occurring in pine and other coniferous trees and may be liberated mainly during handling of fresh wood. Terpenes have been documented as causing irritation of the mucous membranes, and are suspected of causing impairment of lung function and BHR at levels of 100-450 mg/m³ [2, 44]. Only one of the reviewed studies explored terpene exposure. Fransman et al. [25] found low levels in sawmills ranging from GM 0.5-4.4 mg/m³ and did not find respiratory symptoms associated to these low levels. On the contrary, studies solely focusing on terpene exposure [3, 50, 56] have shown considerably higher levels of terpenes with GM's ranging from 35-250 mg/m3. In one Swedish study of sawyers exposed to levels above 150 mg/m3, more BHR was revealed compared to lower exposed sawmill workers [50]. It was suggested that oxidative products of monoterpenes or abietic acid could cause airway inflammation through immune reactions. This was supported in an experimental study, which showed increased alveolar cell concentration, mainly macrophages in BAL after exposure to 450 mg/m³ of terpenes [43].

It has been documented that processing of plywood may cause exposure to formaldehyde [50] and asthma symptoms among woodworkers exposed to formaldehyde alone or in combination with wood dust [38]. A number of the reviewed papers in fact included evaluations of the formaldehyde concentration [25, 34, 35] and found formaldehyde levels ranging from 0.04-0.33 mg/m³. A health-based recommended 8-hour time-weighted occupational exposure limit (OEL) of 0.15 mg/m³ has been recommended in the Nederland's [21]. In the reviewed papers, it is generally not possible to distinguish the effects of wood dust and formaldehyde, although in one recent study [25] at ply mills, an association between asthma symptoms and formaldehyde was revealed, with the highest level of GM 0.16 mg/m³. Thus, it cannot be rejected that formaldehyde alone or in combination with wood dust may have influenced results, especially from the part of the industry processing plywood.

In conclusion, this review supports, despite the limitations in study design and exposure assessments, that wood dust exposure is a risk factor for development of asthma, chronic bronchitis, rhino-conjunctivitis and chronic impairment in lung function. The mechanisms are mostly unknown. Concurrent exposures, such as moulds, endotoxin and terpenes, contribute to the health effects in the wet wood industry.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Danish Work Environment Foundation; Viborg County; Danish Medical Research Council; Health Insurance Fund; and Danish Lung Association.

REFERENCES

1. Åhman M, Hage-Hamsten M, Johansson SGO: IgE-mediated allergy to wood dusts probably doers not explain the high prevalence of respiratory symptoms among Swedish woodwork teachers. *Allergy* 1995, **50**, 559–562.

2. Alexandersson R: A longitudinal study of respiratory health hazards of exposure to terpenes in saw-mills. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* 1988, **39**, 417–420.

3. Alexandersson R: Decreased lung function and exposure to formaldehyde in the wood working industry. A five-year follow-up. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol* 1988, **39**, 421–424.

4. Alwis KU, Mandryk J, Hocking AD: Exposure to Biohazards and wood dust: Bacteria, Fungi, Endotoxins, and $(1\rightarrow 3)$ beta-D-Glucans. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1999, **14**, 598–608.

5. Ashley MJ, Corey P, Chan-Yeung M, Maclean L, Maledy H, Grzybowski S: A respiratory survey of cedar mill workers. II. Influence of work-related and host factors on the prevalence of symptoms and pulmonary function abnormalities. *J Occup Med* 1978, **20**, 328–332.

6. Ayars GH, Altman LC, Frazier CA, Chi EY: The toxicity of constituents of cedar and pine woods to pulmonary epithelium. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1989, **83**, 610–618.

7. Baumgartner KB, Samet JM, Coultas DB, Stidley CA, Hunt WC, Colby TV, Waldron JA: Occupational and environmental risk factors for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a multicenter case-control study. Collaborating Centers. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000, **152**, 307–315.

8. Belin L: Clinical and immunological data on "wood trimmer's disease" in Sweden. *Eur J Respir Dis Suppl* 1980, **107**, 169–176.

9. Belin L: Sawmill alveolitis in Sweden. Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol 1987, 82, 440–443.

10. Bernstein IL, Chan-Yeung M, Malo J-L, Bernstein DI: Asthma in the Workplace, and Related Conditions, 3rd ed. Taylor & Francis, New York 2006.

11. Borm PJ, Jetten M, Hidayat S, van de Burgh N, Leunissen P, Kant I, Houba R, Soeprapto H: Respiratory symptoms, lung function, and nasal cellularity in Indonesian wood workers: a dose-response analysis. *Occup Environ Med* 2002, **59**, 338–344.

12. Bornholdt J, Saber AT, Sharma AK, Savolainen K, Vogel U, Wallin H: Inflammatory response and genotoxicity of seven wood dusts in the human epithelial cell line A549. *Mutat Res* 2007, **632**, 78–88.

13. British Medical Research Council: Definition and classification of chronic bronchitis for clinical and epidemiological purposes. A report to the Medical Research Council by their Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis. *Lancet* 1965, **1**, 775–779.

14. Carton M, Goldberg M, Luce D: [Occupational exposure to wood dust. Health effects and exposure limit values]. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 2002, **50**, 159–178 (in French).

15. Chan-Yeung M, Ashley MJ, Corey P, Willson G, Dorken E, Grzybowski S: A respiratory survey of cedar mill workers. I. Prevalence of symptoms and pulmonary function abnormalities. *J Occup Med* 1978, **20**, 323–327.

16. Chan-Yeung M, Vedal S, Kus J, Maclean L, Enarson D, Tse KS: Symptoms, pulmonary function, and bronchial hyperreactivity in western red cedar workers compared with those in office workers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984, **130**, 1038–1041.

17. Cormier Y, Merlaux A, Duchaine C: Respiratory health impact of working in sawmills in eastern Canada. *Arch Environ Health* 2000, **55**, 424–430.

18. Demers PA, Teschke K, Kennedy SM: What to do about softwood? A review of respiratory effects and recommendations regarding exposure limits. *Am J Ind Med* 1997, **31**, 385–398.

19. Douwes J, McLean D, Slater T, Pearce N: Asthma and other respiratory symptoms in New Zealand pine processing sawmill workers. *Am J Ind Med* 2001, **39**, 608–615.

20. Douwes J, McLean D, Slater T, Travier N, Cheng S, Pearce N: Pine dust, atopy and lung function: A cross-sectional study in sawmill workers. *Eur Respir J* 2006, **28**, 791–798.

21. Dutch Expert Committee on Occupational Standards: Formaldehyde: Health-based recommended occupational exposure limit. Netherland: Health Council of the Netherlands; 2003. Report No.: 2003/02OSH.

22. Dutkiewicz J, Krysinska-Traczyk E, Prazmo Z, Skorska C, Sitkowska J: Exposure to airborne microorganisms in Polish sawmills. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2001, **8**, 71–80.

23. Dykewicz MS, Laufer P, Patterson R, Roberts M, Sommers HM: Woodman's disease: hypersensitivity pneumonitis from cutting live trees. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988, **81**, 455–460.

24. Eduard W, Sandven P, Levy F: Exposure and IgG antibodies to mold spores in wood trimmers: exposure-response relationships with respiratory symptoms. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 1994, **9**, 44–48.

25. Fransman W, McLean D, Douwes J, Demers PA, Leung V, Pearce N: Respiratory symptoms and occupational exposures in New Zealand plywood mill workers. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2003, **47**, 287–295.

26. Friesen MC, Davies HW, Teschke K, Ostry AS, Hertzman C, Demers PA: Impact of the specificity of the exposure metric on exposure-response relationships. *Epidemiology* 2007, **18**, 88–94.

27. Gandevia B: Ventilatory capacity during exposure to western red cedar. *Arch Environ Health* 1970, **20**, 59–63.

28. Glindmeyer HW, Rando RJ, Lefante JJ, Freyder L, Brisolara JA, Jones RN: Longitudinal respiratory health study of the wood processing industry. *Am J Ind Med* 2008, **51**, 595–609.

29. Goldsmith DF, Shy CM: Respiratory health effects from occupational exposure to wood dusts. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1988, **14**, 1–15.

30. Gustafson T, Dahlman-Hoglund A, Nilsson K, Strom K, Tornling G, Toren K: Occupational exposure and severe pulmonary fibrosis. *Respir Med* 2007, **101**, 2207–2212.

31. Halpin DM, Graneek BJ, Lacey J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Williamson PA, Venables KM, Newman Taylor AJ: Respiratory symptoms, immunological responses, and aeroallergen concentrations at a sawmill. *Occup Environ Med* 1994, **51**, 165–172.

 Halpin DM, Graneek BJ, Turner-Warwick M, Newman Taylor AJ: Extrinsic allergic alveolitis and asthma in a sawmill worker: case report and review of the literature. *Occup Environ Med* 1994, **51**, 160–164.

33. Heikkilä P, Martikainen R, Kurppa K, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Karjalainen A: Asthma incidence in wood-processing industries in Finland in a register-based population study. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2008, **34**, 66–72.

34. Herbert AF, Hessel PA, Melenka LS, Yoshida K, Nakaza M: Respiratory consequences of exposure to wood dust and formaldehyde of workers manufacturing oriented strand board. *Arch Environ Health* 1994, **49**, 465–470.

35. Herbert FA, Hessel PA, Melenka LS, Yoshida K, Nakaza M: Pulmonary effects of simultaneous exposures to MDI formaldehyde and wood dust on workers in an oriented strand board plant. *J Occup Environ Med* 1995, **37**, 461–465.

36. Hessel PA, Herbert FA, Melenka LS, Yoshida K, Michaelchuk D, Nakaza M: Lung health in sawmill workers exposed to pine and spruce. *Chest* 1995, **108**, 642–646.

37. Holmstrom M, Rosen G, Wilhelmsson B: Symptoms, airway physiology and histology of workers exposed to medium-density fiber board. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1991, **17**, 409–413.

38. Holmstrom M, Wilhelmsson B: Respiratory symptoms and pathophysiological effects of occupational exposure to formaldehyde and wood dust. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1988, **14**, 306–311.

39. Hubbard R, Lewis S, Richards K, Johnston I, Britton J: Occupational exposure to metal or wood dust and aetiology of cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis. *Lancet* 1996, **347**, 284–289.

40. International Agency for Research on Cancer: Wood dust and formaldehyd. **In:** *IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans.* Vol. 62. IARC, Lyon 1995.

41. Jacobsen G, Schaumburg I, Sigsgaard T, Schlünssen V: Non-malignant respiratory diseases and occupational exposure to wood dust. Part II. Dry wood industry. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2010, **17**, 29–44.

42. Jacobsen G, Schlünssen V, Schaumburg I, Taudorf E, Sigsgaard T: Longitudinal lung function decline and wood dust exposure in the furniture industry. *Eur Respir J* 2008, **31**, 334–342.

43. Johard U, Larsson K, Löf A, Eklund A: Controlled short-time terpene exposure induces an increase of the macrophages and the mast cells in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. *Am J Ind Med* 1993, **23**, 793–799.

44. Kasanen JP, Pasanen AL, Pasanen P, Liesivuori J, Kosma VM, Alarie Y: Evaluation of sensory irritation of delta3-carene and turpentine, and acceptable levels of monoterpenes in occupational and indoor environment. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* 1999, **57**, 89–114.

45. Kauppinen T, Vincent R, Liukkonen T, Grzebyk M, Kauppinen A, Welling I, Arezes P, Black N, Bochmann F, Campelo F, Costa M, Elsigan G, Goerens R, Kikemenis A, Kromhout H, Miguel S, Mirabelli D, McEneany R, Pesch B, Plato N, Schlünssen V, Schulze J, Sonntag R, Verougstraete V, De Vicente MA, Wolf J, Zimmermann M, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Savolainen K: Occupational exposure to inhalable wood dust in the member states of the European Union. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2006, **50**, 549–561.

46. Liou SH, Cheng SY, Lai FM, Yang JL: Respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function in mill workers exposed to wood dust. *Am J Ind Med* 1996, **30**, 293–299.

47. Long H, Shi T, Borm PJ, Määttä J, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Savolainen K, Krombach F: ROS-mediated TNF-alpha and MIP-2 gene expression in alveolar macrophages exposed to pine dust. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 2004, **1**, 3.

48. Määttä J, Luukkonen R, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Alenius H, Savolainen K: Comparison of hardwood and softwood dust-induced expression of cytokines and chemokines in mouse macrophage RAW 264.7 cells. *Toxicology* 2006, **218**, 13–21.

49. Määttä J, Majuri ML, Luukkonen R, Lauerma A, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Alenius H, Savolainen K: Characterization of oak and birch dust-induced expression of cytokines and chemokines in mouse macrophage RAW 264.7 cells. *Toxicology* 2005, **215**, 25–36.

50. Malmberg PO, Rask-Andersen A, Larsson KA, Stjernberg N, Sundblad BM, Eriksson K: Increased bronchial responsiveness in workers sawing Scots pine. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996, **153**, 948–952.

51. Mandryk J, Alwais KU, Hocking AD: Work-related symptoms and dose-response relationships for personal exposures and pulmonary function among woodworkers. *Am J Ind Med* 1999, **35**, 481–490.

52. Mandryk J, Alwis U, Hocking AD: Effects of personal exposures on pulmonary function and work-related symptoms among sawmill workers. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2000, **44**, 281–289.

53. Noertjojo HK, Dimich-Ward H, Peelen S, Dittrick M, Kennedy SM, Chan-Yeung M: Western red cedar dust exposure and lung function: a dose-response relationship. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996, **154**, 968–973.

54. Pinheiro GA, Antao VC, Wood JM, Wassell JT: Occupational risks for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis mortality in the United States. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 2008, **14**, 117–123.

55. Rask-Andersen A, Land CJ, Enlund K, Lundin A: Inhalation fever and respiratory symptoms in the trimming department of Swedish saw-mills. *Am J Ind Med* 1994, **25**, 65–67.

56. Rosenberg C, Liukkonen T, Kallas-Tarpila T, Ruonakangas A, Ranta R, Nurminen M, Welling I, Jäppinen P: Monoterpene and wood dust exposures: work-related symptoms among Finnish sawmill workers. *Am J Ind Med* 2002, **41**, 38–53.

57. Rusca S, Charriere N, Droz PO, Oppliger A: Effects of bioaerosol exposure on work-related symptoms among Swiss sawmill workers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2008, **81**, 415–421.

58. Scott J, Johnston I, Britton J: What causes cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis? A case-control study of environmental exposure to dust. *Br Med J* 1990, **301**, 1015–1017.

59. Skovsted TA, Schlünssen V, Schaumburg I, Wang P, Staun-Olsen P, Skov PS: Only few workers exposed to wood dust are detected with specific IgE against pine wood. *Allergy* 2003, **58**, 772–779.

60. Terho EO, Husman K, Kotimaa M, Sjoblom T: Extrinsic allergic alveolitis in a sawmill worker. A case report. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1980, **6**, 153–157.

61. Teschke K, Spierings J, Marion SA, Demers PA, Davies HW, Kennedy SM: Reducing attenuation in exposure-response relationships by exposure modeling and grouping: the relationship between wood dust exposure and lung function. *Am J Ind Med* 2004, **46**, 663–667.

62. Ugheoke AJ, Ebomoyi MI, Iyawe VI: Influence of smoking on respiratory symptoms and lung function indices in sawmill workers in Benin City, Nigeria. *Niger J Physiol Sci* 2006, **21**, 49–54.

63. Vedal S, Chan-Yeung M, Enarson D, Fera T, Maclean L, Tse KS, Langille R: Symptoms and pulmonary function in western red cedar workers related to duration of employment and dust exposure. *Arch Environ Health* 1986, **41**, 179–183.

64. Vedal S, Enarson DA, Chan H, Ochnio J, Tse KS, Chan-Yeung M: A longitudinal study of the occurrence of bronchial hyperresponsiveness in western red cedar workers. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988, **137**, 651–655.

65. Whitehead LW: Health effects of wood dust – relevance for an occupational standard. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1982, **43**, 674–678.

66. Wilhelmsson B, Jernudd Y, Ripe E, Holmberg K: Nasal hypersensitivity in wood furniture workers. An allergological and immunological investigation with special reference to mould and wood. *Allergy* 1984, **39**, 586–595.